<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-26 are pending in the application. The Examiner objected to Claims 4, 8, 12, 16, 21 and 25 based on informalities. The Examiner rejected Claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Kovesdi et al. (U.S. Publication 2003/0155413).

Please amend Claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18, 21 and 25 as set forth herein. Please cancel Claims 3, 11 and 20, without prejudice. No new matter has been added.

Regarding independent Claims 1, 9 and 18, each of these claims has been amended to include the features of Claims 3, 11 and 20, respectively. Each of these amended claims recite that the classified information is directionally tagged for spatial presentation, and that each class is audibly presented from a different position in space based on the directional tagging. More specifically, the claims relate to audibly presenting the classes from different positions in space. The Examiner alleges that these features are disclosed by Kovesdi et al. at paragraphs [0039] and [0060]-[0062]. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Kovesdi et al. relates to a system that visually presents data, as illustrated in FIG. 1 and its description. Kovesdi et al. does not audibly present information from different positions in space as presented in Claims 1, 9 and 18.

Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejections of Claims 1, 9 and 18 is respectfully requested.

Independent Claims 1, 9 and 18 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2, 4-8, 10, 12-17, 19 and 21-26, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 2, 4-8, 10, 12-17, 19 and 21-26 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 12-19 and 21-26, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Musella

Règ/No. 39,310

Attorney for Applicants

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C. 333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Suite 701 Uniondale, New York 11553

Tel: (516) 228-3565

Fax: (516) 228-8475

PJF/MJM/dr